

# Irreversible Electroporation for Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer: A Ray of Hope in a Difficult Problem

John Spiliotis\*

Surgical Oncologist, Department of Surgical Oncology, Ygia Polyclinic Private Hospital, Limassol, Cyprus.

Chairman, Department of Surgical Oncology, European Interbalkan Medical Center, Thessaloniki, Greece.

## Corresponding Author: John Spiliotis

Chairman, Department of Surgical Oncology, European Interbalkan Medical Center, Thessaloniki, Greece.

Tel: +306942404014; Email: jspil@hotmail.gr

## Article Information

**Received:** Jul 29, 2024

**Accepted:** Sep 13, 2024

**Published:** Sep 20, 2024

SciBase Gastroenterology and Hepatology - [scibasejournals.org](https://scibasejournals.org)

Spiliotis J. © All rights are reserved

**Citation:** Spiliotis J. Irreversible Electroporation for Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer. A Ray of Hope in a Difficult Problem. SciBase Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2024; 1(1): 1004.

## Abstract

Irreversible Electroporation (IRE) is a recent tool of tumor ablation techniques added to the management of Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer (LAPC). As opposed to the thermal ablative techniques, IRE induces cancer cell death by the delivery of high-voltage electrical pulses. The electrical energy disrupts the cellular membrane causes loss of cellular homeostasis and respects the surrounding structures such as bile ducts, bowel wall and large vessels. All this makes IRE attractive. This review discusses several practical and technical issues, indications, patients selection and clinical results.

**Keywords:** Irreversible Electroporation (IRE); Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer (LAPC); Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma (PDAC).

## Introduction

Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the most aggressive tumor types and is expected to become the leading cause of cancer-related deaths by 2030 [1,2]. The overall 5 year survival rates estimated between 8 to 10% [3].

Of the patients with PDAC about 30-40% encompasses non-metastatic Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer (LAPC).

LAPC is broadly defined by its encasement of the superior mesenteric artery or celiac axis or encasement of mesenteric-portal axis without possibility of reconstruction after resection [4,5].

For patients with LAPC, treatment options, include stereotactic body radiotherapy, chemotherapy, chemo radiation and so forth.

Overall systemic chemotherapy delivers poor median overall survival of 16-22 months [6-8].

Therefore, different approaches to treat LAPC are required.

Ablation techniques present a promising method for the local treatment of LAPC [9-12].

The most well know methods re RFA, microwave ablation and cryoablation and recently a primarily non-thermal method known as Irreversible Electroporation (IRE). The most important difference between IRE to thermal ablation method is that IRE employs electrical energy in the form of high-voltage electrical pulses that after the existing tumor cellular transmembrane potential [13].

This effect lead to loss cellular home ostasis which it results in tumor cells death through both apoptosis and necrosis [14]. The two main advantages of IRE are:

First with the use of non-thermal electrical energy protects the surrounding structures of large vessels, bile ducts and intestine [15].

Second the thermal ablations (RFA, microwaves) potentially incomplete by the "heat-sink effect" resulting incomplete ablation; on the other hand IRE obviates this phenomenon due to the non-thermal use of energy.

One interesting observation compares IRE vs RFA is that IRE stimulates a T cell activation with the establishment of anticancer systemic immune response to destroy the malignant tumor cells remnants from the inside out [16,17].

The aim of this review is to present the issues of IRE for LAPC and discuss future prospective combines IRE with systemic or locally chemotherapy or immunotherapy.

### Patients selection and evaluation

IRE for LAPC is currently used as a cytoreductive surgery for patients that lack signs of distant metastatic disease. This procedure is considered high risk due to anatomical reasons of surrounded structures [18]. Hence patient selection for IRE is crucial and essential, must be reviewed by multidisciplinary tumor board, stage III LAPC, without diabetes without history of cardiac arrhythmias, or implanted cardiac pacemaker epilepsy or congestive heart failure [19,20].

Anesthetic review and management during IRE differs from standard anesthesia due to the increased risk of cardiac arrhythmias and severe muscle contractions [21].

Complete preoperative imaging with CT, MRI angiography are essential and bowel preparation and nasogastric tube placement is necessary [22,23].

### Outcome of IRE for LAPC

Most of the current trial to evaluate the benefits of IRE therapy in LAPC is retrospective studies [24].

The survival results are varied with a median overall survival ranges from 10 to 30 months [25-26].

The most important factor which must be considered as standard of care is the use of neo-adjuvant systemic chemotherapy before IRE. Despite this, Alette et al. [27] recommend at least four cycles of FOLFIRINOX before IRE. The ongoing LAP-PIE clinical trial aims to perform a randomized comparison of combination treatment FOLFIRINOX+IRE versus FOLFIRINOX alone [28].

In Table 1 overview overall survival from 2017 until now.

**Table 1:** Irreversible electroporation for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. survival rates (median in months).

Authors	Year	Approach	Median O.S. (months)
Scheffer et al. [35]	2017	Percutaneous	11
Belfiore et al. [36]	2017	Percutaneous	14
Narayanan et al. [37]	2017	Percutaneous	14.2
Vogel et al. [38]	2017	Open	16
Spiliotis et al. [12]	2018	Open	16.7
Sugimoto et al. [39]	2018	Open/ Percutaneous	17.5
Leen et al. [40]	2018	Percutaneous	27
Ruarus et al. [41]	2018	Percutaneous	17

### Complications

Several studies examining cumulative morbidity and mortality rates of IRE. The morbidity range from 24% to 36% and the average peri-procedure mortality rate of 0% to 2% respectively [29,30].

The most severe complications are, vessel thrombosis, bleeding or acute pancreatitis GI-related complaints are pain, diarrhea, vomiting or nausea and delayed gastric emptying.

Recent data from a meta-analysis that evaluate morbidity and mortality for treating LAPC showed that major complication rates were approximately 17% [31].

Table 2 summarized the IRE complications.

**Table 2:** IRE's complications.

-	Pancreatitis
-	Biliary obstruction
-	Portal vein thrombosis
-	Bleeding
-	Intestinal Perforations
-	Fistula formation
-	Abscess formation
-	Post-procedural pneumonia

### Clinical response of IRE-follow-up

Tumor response remains a difficult-to-measure endpoint; tumor size alone does not fully encompass tumor response, because initially an increase in tumor volume can be detected due to reactive edema, with a progressive decrease thereafter [32]. For this reason, a preferable method of evaluation of tumor response is the combination of tumor size after 2 months together with functional parameters such as tumor marker CA 19-9 Level and alterations in development of vascular and biliary imaging [33].

Martin et al. [34] recommended a triple-phased CT in the plain, arterial and venous phases within 1 month to assess the patency of local structures.

### Conclusion

IRE offers in well selected LAPC patients an alternative efficacious treatment when combined with neo-adjuvant and post IRE systemic chemotherapy. Several retrospective trials and case studies have been confirmed overall survival benefit compared to systemic chemotherapy alone.

Furthermore electro chemotherapy or electro immunotherapy using the synergy between IRE and the other two options represents a new challenge for LAPC and opens a ray of hope in the future management of pancreatic cancer.

### Declarations

**Conflicts of interest:** Not applicable.

**Sources of support for the work:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** Not applicable.

### References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A: Cancer statistics. CA. A. Cancer J Clin. 2017; 67: 7-30.
2. Kenner BJ, Chari ST, Maitra A, et al. Early detection of pancreatic cancer-a defined future using lessons from other cancers: A white paper. Pancreas. 2016; 45: 1073-1079.

3. Rahib L, Wehner MR, Materisian LM, et al. Estimated projection of US cancer incidence and death of 2040. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021; 4(4): e214708.
4. Tempero MA, Malafa MP, Al-Hawary M, et al. Pancreatic adenocarcinoma Version 2.2017. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. *J Natl Compr Cancer Netw*. 2017; 15: 1028-1061.
5. Chan G, Pua U. Irreversible electroporation of the pancreas. *Semin Intervent Radiol*. 2019; 36: 213-220.
6. Park W, Chawla A, O' Reilly EM. Pancreatic cancer: A review *JAMA*. 2021; 326(9): 851-862.
7. Jones RP, Psarelli EE, Jackson R, et al. Patterns of recurrence after resection of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. A systemic review and meta-analysis of response and resection percentages. *Plos Med*. 2010; 7(4): e1000267.
8. Suker M, Beumer BR, Sadot E, et al. FOLFIRINOX for locally advanced pancreatic cancer: A systemic review and patient-level meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol*. 2016; 17: 801-810.
9. Scheffer HJ, Stam AGM, Geboers B, et al. Irreversible electroporation of LAPC transiently alleviates immune suppression and creates a window for antitumor T cell activation. *Oncoimmunology*. 2019; 8: 1652532.
10. Scheffer HJ, Vroomen LG, De Jong MC, et al. Ablation of LAPC with percutaneous Irreversible electroporation: Results of Phase I/II PANFIRE study. *Radiology*. 2017; 282: 585-597.
11. Niessen C, Beyer LP, Pregler B, et al. Percutaneous ablation of hepatic tumors using IRE: A prospective safety and midterm efficacy study in 34 patients. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2016; 27: 480-486.
12. Spiliotis J, Kopanakis N, Terras A, et al. Irreversible electroporation for stage III locally advanced pancreatic cancer: Single-center experience *JBUON*. 2018; 23: 1203-1204.
13. Lafranceschina S, Brunetti O, Delvecchio, et al. Systemic review of IRE sole in management of LAPC. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2019; 11.
14. Geboers B, Scheffer HJ, Graybill PM, et al. IRE and thermal ablation of tumors in the liver, lung, kidney and bone: what are the differences? *Diagnost Intervent Imaging*. 2017; 98: 609-617.
15. Vogel JA, van Veldhuisen E, Agness P, et al. Time-dependent impact of IRE on pancreas, liver blood vessels and nerves: A systematic review of experimental studies *PLOS One*. 2016; 11: e0166987.
16. White SB, Zhang Z, Chen J, et al. Early Immunologic response of IRE versus cryoablation in a rodent model of pancreatic cancer. *J Vasc Intervent Radiol*. 2018; 29: 1764-1769.
17. Shao Q, O'Flanagan S, Lam I, et al. Engineering T cell response to cancer antigens by choice of local therapeutic conditions. *Int J Hyperthermia*. 2019; 36: 130-138.
18. Bulvik BE, Rozenblum N, Gourevich S, et al. IRE versus radiofrequency ablation: A comparison of local and systemic effects in a small-animal model. *Radiology*. 2016; 280: 413-424.
19. Narayanan G. Irreversible electroporation. *Semin Intervent Radiol*. 2015; 32: 349-355.
20. Woeste MR, Wilson KD, Kruse EJ, et al. Optimizing patient selection for IRE of LAPC: analysis of survival. *Front Oncol*. 2022; 11: 817220.
21. Moris D, Machairas N, Tsilimigras DI, et al. Systematic review of surgical and percutaneous irreversible electroporation in the treatment of locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2019; 26: 1657-1668.
22. Timmer FEF, Geboers B, Ruarus AH, et al. Irreversible electroporation for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Tech Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2020; 23: 100675.
23. Holland MM, Bhutiani N, Kruse EJ, et al. A prospective, multi-institution assessment of irreversible electroporation for treatment of locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma: Initial outcomes from the AHPBA pancreatic registry. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2019; 21: 1024-1031.
24. Rai ZL, Feakins R, Pallett LJ, et al. Irreversible Electroporation (IRE) in locally advanced pancreatic cancer: a review of current clinical outcomes, Mechanism of action and opportunities for synergistic therapy. *J Clin Med*. 2021; 10: 1609.
25. Lambert L, Horejs J, Krska Z, et al. Treatment of locally advanced pancreatic cancer by percutaneous and intraoperative irreversible electroporation: general hospital cancer center experience. *Neoplasma*. 2016; 63: 269-273.
26. He C, Wang J, Zhang Y, et al. Irreversible electroporation after induction chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer: a propensity score matching analysis. *Pancreatol*. 2020; 20: 477-484.
27. Ruarus AH, Vroomen LGPH, Geboers B, et al. Percutaneous irreversible electroporation in locally advanced and recurrent pancreatic cancer (PANFIRE-2): A multicenter, Prospective, Single-Arm, Phase II Study. *Radiology*. 2020; 294: 212-220.
28. Rai ZL, Ranieri V, Palmer DH, et al. Treatment of unresectable locally advanced pancreatic cancer with percutaneous irreversible electroporation (IRE) following initial systemic chemotherapy (LAP-PIE) trial: Study protocol for a feasibility randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open*. 2022; 12: e050166.
29. Moris D, Machairas N, Tsilimigras DI, et al. Systematic review of surgical and percutaneous irreversible electroporation in the treatment of locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2019; 26: 1657-1668.
30. Ruarus AH, Vroomen L, Puijk RS, et al. Conductivity rise during irreversible electroporation: True permeabilization or heat? *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2018; 41: 1257-1266.
31. Akinwande O, Ahmad SS, Van Meter T, et al. CT findings of patients treated with irreversible electroporation for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *J Oncol*. 2015; 2015: 680319.
32. Nagtegaal ID, Odze RD, Klimstra D, et al. The 2019 WHO classification of tumours of the digestive system. *Histopathology*. 2020; 76(2): 182-188.
33. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: Revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer*. 2009; 45: 228-247.
34. Martin 2nd RC, Durham AN, Besselink MG, et al. Irreversible electroporation in locally advanced pancreatic cancer: a call for standardization of energy delivery. *J Surg Oncol*. 2016; 114: 865-871.
35. Scheffer HJ, Vroomen LG, de Jong MC, et al. Ablation of locally advanced pancreatic cancer with percutaneous irreversible electroporation: Results of the phase I/II PANFIRE study. *Radiology*. 2017; 282: 585-597.
36. Belfiore MP, Ronza FM, Romano F, et al. Percutaneous CT-guided irreversible electroporation followed by chemotherapy as a novel neoadjuvant protocol in locally advanced pancreatic cancer: Our preliminary experience. *Int J Surg*. 2015; 21(Suppl 1): S34-S39.
37. Narayanan G, Hosein PJ, Beulaygue IC, et al. Percutaneous image-guided irreversible electroporation for the treatment of un-

- resectable, locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2017; 28: 342-348.
38. Vogel JA, Rombouts SJ, de Rooij T, et al. Induction chemotherapy followed by resection or irreversible electroporation in locally advanced pancreatic cancer (IMPALA): A prospective cohort study. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2017; 24: 2734-2743.
39. Sugimoto K, Moriyasu F, Tsuchiya T, et al. Irreversible electroporation for nonthermal tumor ablation in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer: Initial clinical experience in Japan. *Int Med (Tokyo, Japan).* 2018; 57: 3225-3231.
40. Leen E, Picard J, Stebbing J, et al. Percutaneous irreversible electroporation with systemic treatment for locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Gastrointest Oncol.* 2018; 9: 275-281.
41. Ruarus AH, Vroomen L, Geboers B, et al. Percutaneous irreversible electroporation in locally advanced and recurrent pancreatic cancer (PANFIRE-2): A multicenter, prospective, single-arm, phase II study. *Radiology.* 2019; 191109.